

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,118	07/08/2003	Hitoshi Oyama	2927-0149P	6065	
2292	7590 05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
THEE CHOICH, VII 22010 07.17			3711	3711	

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/614,118	OYAMA, HITOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen L. Blau	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ly 2003.					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	··					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 7</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
252 302 2002002 200002 20000 20000 20000						
Attachmount(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/8/03.	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Datent and Trademark Office	. — .					

Application/Control Number: 10/614,118

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Lines 12-17 of page 8 do not make sense. 3T1<= T2<=2T1 is indeterminate.
 - b. There is no reference number 1b in the drawings as stated in page 15 line 10.
 - c. The word "loess" in page 25 line 3 does not make sense.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 discloses a standard method No. 2087 which is indefinite. This standard numbered test method could be modified in the future. The examiner recommends inserting the actual test as disclosed in figure 5 in claim 3 and the specification. Claim 5 is indefinite in that the formula 3T1<= T2<=2T1 is indeterminate.

,¥%<u>.</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/614,118

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

4. Claims 3 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

5. Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-7 are allowed. None of the prior art discloses or renders as obvious a straight layer having a prepreg with a tensile modulus of elasticity not less than 300 Gpa and a tensile strength not less than 5000 Mpa and a weight of a shaft per unit length being less than .0385 grams in addition to the other elements of structure claimed. Takemura discloses a straight layer having able to have a tensile modulus of elasticity not less than 300 Gpa and a tensile strength not less than 5000 Mpa (Claim 1). Takemura does not disclose a weight of a shaft per unit length being less than .0385 grams (Table 4, Col. 11, Lns. 10-20, Examples 1-2). Takemura also does not disclose a specific prepreg in the examples having a tensile modulus of elasticity not less than 300 Gpa and a tensile strength not less than 5000 Mpa however as disclosed by the applicant these prepregs exists and the examiner believes they are obvious modification to the shaft of Takemura.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712.

Art Unit: 3711

The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 5 May 2004

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER